**Chapter 4: Validation**

* 1. **Introduction**

To evaluate our work for adapting systems’ interfaces using MOEA/D, we conducted a set of experiments based on one large system (Give a name to the system). Each experiment is repeated 31 times, and the obtained results are subsequently statistically analyzed with the aim to measure the performance of our MOEA/D proposal with various given criteria. In this section, we first present our research questions and then describe and discuss the obtained results.

* 1. **Research Questions**

We assess the performance of our approach by finding out whether it could generate meaningful sequences of rules that improve the adaptivity of interfaces while reducing the number of rules needed. Our validation is conducted by addressing the following research questions outlined below. We also explain how our experiments are designed to address these questions:

**Research Question 1**: To what extent can the MOEA/D help in improving the adaptivity of interfaces in the system?

**Research Question 2**: To what extent can the use of multiple metrics improve the adaptivity of interfaces in the system?

**Research Question 3**: To what extent can the proposed approach minimize the number of needed rules?

**Research Question 4**: How does the proposed MOEA/D perform compared to a mono-objective approach?

To answer Research Question 1, we (…).

To answer Research Question 2, we (…).

To answer Research Question 3, we (…).


To answer Research Question 4, we (…).

* 1. **Studied Project**

(…)

* 1. **Experimental Setting**

Parameter setting influences significantly the performance of a search algorithms on a given search problem. It is usually difficult to preemptively set the best tuning setting. For this reason, we perform a set of experiments using several population sizes: 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, and 320 for our 2 objectives. The maximum number of generations used is 100, 200, 400, 8000 and 1600. For each algorithm, to generate an initial population, we start by defining the maximum vector length (maximum number of rules per solution). As a higher number of operations in a solution do not necessarily mean that the results will be better, we empirically determine the best set of setting through the above mentioned trials. Ideally, a small number of rules should be sufficient to provide a good trade-off between the fitness functions. This parameter can be also specified by the user or derived randomly from the sizes of the program and the used operations list. During the creation, the solutions have random sizes inside the allowed range. We use the trial and error method [reference needed] in order to obtain a good parameter configuration. Since we are comparing different search algorithms, we classify parameters into common parameters and specific parameters. Table X depicts the important common parameters. For MOEA/D, the neighborhood size is set to 20



* 1. **Research Questions**
     1. **Results for Research Question 1**

Insert the suitable excel tables here

* + 1. **Results for Research Question 2**

Insert the suitable excel tables here

* + 1. **Results for Research Question 3**

Insert the suitable excel tables here

* + 1. **Results for Research Question 4**

Insert the suitable excel tables here

* 1. **Conclusion**

(…)